1	JENNY L. FOLEY, Ph.D., ESQ.		
2	Nevada Bar No. 9017		
	E-mail: jfoley@hkm.com MARTA D. KURSHUMOVA, ESQ.		
3	Nevada Bar No. 14728		
4	E-mail: mkurshumova@hkm.com  HKM EMPLOYMENT ATTORNEYS LLP  1785 East Sahara, Suite 325		
5			
6	Las Vegas, Nevada 89104 Tel: (702) 625-3893		
7	Fax: (702) 625-3893		
8	E-mail: jfoley@hkm.com Attorneys for Plaintiff		
9			
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA		
11			
12	KEITH PAUL, an Individual,  CASE NO.:		
13	Plaintiff,		
14	vs. <u>COMPLAINT AND JURY DEMAND</u>		
15	CITY OF HENDERSON, DOES I -X; ROE CORPORATIONS I -X,		
16   17	Defendant.		
1 /			
18	The Plaintiff Keith Paul ("Mr. Paul" or "Plaintiff") by and through his attorneys, Jenny		
19 20	L. Foley, Ph.D., Esq. and Marta Kurshumova, Esq. of HKM Employment Attorneys LLP		
21	hereby complains and alleges as follows:		
22	JURISDICTION		
23	1. This is an action for damages brought by Plaintiff for unlawful workplace discrimination		
24			
25	and termination due to participation in protected union activity under the National Labor		
26	Relations Act of 1935, 29 U.S.C. § 151–169 ("NLRA"); and for certain claims brough		
27	pursuant to the Nevada Revised Statutes as outlined below.		
28	2. This Court has primary jurisdiction over claims set forth herein pursuant to 28 U.S.C.		
	Page 1 of 9		
- 1			

- 1331 (federal question), 28 U.S.C. §1343(a) (4) (civil rights action) and 42 U.S.C. §2000e-5(f) (3) (unlawful discrimination and retaliation in employment). Additionally, this Court has supplemental jurisdiction over any state law claims pled herein pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1367.
- 3. All material allegations relative to the named Defendant contained in this Complaint are believed to have occurred in the State of Nevada, Clark County. Therefore, venue properly lies in the southern division of the United States Court for the District of Nevada pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1391(b)(2).

#### **GENERAL ALLEGATIONS**

- 4. Plaintiff incorporates all of the allegations in the preceding paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.
- 5. Plaintiff is a citizen of the State of Nevada and a resident of Clark County Nevada.
- 6. Defendant, City of Henderson, Nevada is a municipality organized under the laws of the State of Nevada.
  - 7. Plaintiff first began his employment with the City of Henderson at the Henderson Police Department as a Public Information Specialist in October 2002.
  - 8. From all accounts, he was a superlative employee.
  - 9. In July 2015, following a myriad of problems with the City of Henderson such as discontinuing to match employees' 457 payments, lack of raises for several years and cutting twenty-five percent (25%) of employees' time off under a new Paid Time Off plan, Plaintiff initiated the process of starting a union.
  - 10. Immediately after Plaintiff's initial efforts to start a union, the City began a campaign of retaliation against him.
  - 11. In July 2015, Plaintiff was written up for allegedly exhibiting inappropriate conduct and violating an applicable code of ethics.
  - 12. Defendant, and more specifically Plaintiff's direct supervisor, Ms. Karina Milani ("Ms.

1	Milani"), brought those allegations by alleging that Plaintiff was rude and dismissive		
2	when communicating when another employee.		
3	13. In fact, Plaintiff did not behave in such a way and Defendant closed the investigation		
4	without issuing any disciplinary action.		
5	14. Regardless, the ordeal cost Plaintiff frustration and embarrassment, and the necessity of		
6	obtaining an attorney.		
7	15. Soon thereafter, Plaintiff filed a complaint of harassment, retaliation and hostile work		
8	environment against Milani with the City of Henderson.		
9	16. Ms. Milani would blame Plaintiff for minor mistakes, not of his doing, would speak ill		
10	of him to other managers and would limit his access to information, which he needed to		
11	perform his job duties.		
12	17. Furthermore, Plaintiff was passed over for the position of Senior Public Information		
13	Officer, for which he was fully qualified, and the position was given to a less qualified		
14	employee.		
15	18. On November 1, 2017, Defendant laid off Plaintiff under the pre-text of budgetary		
16	issues.		
17	19. Curiously in light of the alleged budgetary issues, the Senior Public Information Officer		
18	remained even though she had no one to supervise and had a higher salary than Plaintiff.		
19	20. Additionally, Defendant refused to consider Plaintiff for a different position in		
20	accordance with Defendant's efforts to relocate employees instead of laying them off.		
21	21. Not only was Plaintiff not considered for a different position, but Mayor Debra March		
22	specifically instructed Manager Richard Derrick not to re-hire Plaintiff.		
23	22. The long history of harassment and the peculiar circumstances of the "lay off" indicate		
24	that the real reason for Plaintiff's termination was his union formation activities.		
25	23. Upon information and belief, Defendant began a campaign of defamation and		

26

27

28

wrongful termination due to participation in protected union activity.

harassment against Plaintiff following Plaintiff retaining an attorney for the claim of

### 

# SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION (Retaliatory Discharge in Violation of Public Policy and NRS 614.090)

- 44. Plaintiff incorporates all of the allegations in the preceding paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.
- 45. Nevada has a strong public policy in favor of "freedom of association, self-organization, and designation of representatives of the worker's own choosing to negotiate the terms and conditions of his or her employment, and that the worker shall be free from the interference, restraint or coercion of employers of labor, or their agents, in the designation of such representatives or in self-organization or in other concerted activities for the purpose of collective bargaining or other mutual aid or protection." *See N.R.S.* 614.090.
- 46. Here, Plaintiff initiated the process of starting a union while employed by Defendant.
- 47. Immediately thereafter, Defendant subjected Plaintiff to a harassing and retaliatory work environment.
- 48. On November 1, 2017, Defendant laid off Plaintiff under the pre-text of budgetary issues.
- 49. Plaintiff believes that Defendant terminated Plaintiff for engaging in union activity.
- 50. Mayor Debra March specifically instructed Manager Richard Derrick not to re-hire Plaintiff.
- 51. Plaintiff believes Mayor March instructed Mr. Derrick not to re-hire Plaintiff because of his efforts to start a union.
- 52. Termination of Plaintiff's employment was a violation of this strong public policy.
- 53. Due to its illegal actions, Defendant must pay damages in an amount to be determined at trial for back pay, front pay, lost benefits, and compensatory damages for emotional pain, suffering, inconvenience, mental anguish and loss of enjoyment of life which exceed \$15,000.00.
- 54. Because Defendant is guilty of oppression, fraud or malice, express or implied,

Defendant must pay Plaintiff an additional amount for the sake of example and by way of punishment which exceeds \$15,000.00

55. Plaintiff has had to obtain the services of an attorney to protect his rights and secure compensation for the damages incurred by these violations. Therefore, Plaintiff is entitled to recover reasonable attorney's fees against Defendants.

# THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION (Retaliatory Discharge in Violation of NRS 288.270)

- 56. Plaintiff incorporates all of the allegations in the preceding paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.
- 57. Nevada prohibits a local government employer or its designated representative willfully to discriminate in regard to hiring, tenure or any term or condition of employment to encourage or discourage membership in any employee organization, among other.
- 58. Here, Plaintiff initiated the process of starting a union while employed by Defendant.
- 59. Immediately thereafter, Defendant subjected Plaintiff to a harassing and retaliatory work environment.
- 60. On November 1, 2017, Defendant laid off Plaintiff under the pre-text of budgetary issues.
- 61. Plaintiff believes that Defendant terminated Plaintiff for engaging in union activity.
- 62. Mayor Debra March specifically instructed Manager Richard Derrick not to re-hire Plaintiff.
- 63. Plaintiff believes Mayor March instructed Mr. Derrick not to re-hire Plaintiff because of his efforts to start a union.
- 64. Termination of Plaintiff's employment was a violation of this strong public policy.
- 65. Due to its illegal actions, Defendant must pay damages in an amount to be determined at trial for back pay, front pay, lost benefits, and compensatory damages for emotional pain, suffering, inconvenience, mental anguish and loss of enjoyment of life which exceed \$15,000.00.

#### case 2:19-cv-00996-GMN-DJA Document 1 Filed 06/12/19 Page 9 of 9

1	i. Any other relief the court deems just and proper.	
2	Dated this 11 <sup>th</sup> Day of June, 2019.	
3		HKM EMPLOYMENT ATTORNEYS, LLP
4		
5		/s/, Jenny L. Foley JENNY L. FOLEY, Ph.D., Esq.
6		Nevada Bar No. 9017
7		MARTA KURSHUMOVA, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 14728
8		1785 East Sahara, Suite 300 Las Vegas, Nevada 89104
9		Tel: (702) 577-3029 Fax: (702) 625-3893
10		E-mail: jfoley@hkm.com
11		Attorney for Plaintiff
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		